	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/760,568	VALENTINE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Peter Szekely.	(3)	
(2) <u>Todd T. Taylor</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 July 2006	Time: <u>9:30 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 8 and 10-12		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Grammatical errors in claims 1, 2 and 10-12 and antecedent bas		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview.
(Examinación Signatura)	t/Applicant's Representative S	Signatura if anamarista
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	vapplicants kepresentative S	olunalure – II appropriate)